```
Page 1
01
     UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
02
03
     FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
04
05
06
07
80
     Transcript of the Public Hearing
09
10
      on
11
12
      Gray Wolf Delisting Proposal
13
14
     held at
15
16
     Michigan Room, Don H. Bottum Conference Center
17
18
     Northern Michigan University
19
      540 West Kaye Avenue
20
21
     Marquette, Michigan
22
23
24
25
      Tuesday, May 16, 2006
26
27
28
     at
29
     7:30 P.M.
30
31
32
     Moderator - Mike Twohey
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
      RECORDED BY:
                                    Mary Amtmann, CER 5771
41
42
                                          Certified Electronic Recorder
43
                                          (906) 249-9074
44
     Page 2
01
     TABLE OF CONTENTS
02
03
04
      PAGE
05
```

Opening remarks by Christie Deloria----3

07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Opening remarks by Mike Twohey 3 Comments by George Lindquist 10 Comments by David Bahrman 12 Comments by Robert Johnson 13 Comments by Ginger Winn 13 Comments by David Allen 14 Comments by Charles Keranen 15 Comments by Chauncey Moran 16 Comments by Doug Cornett 18
	Page 3
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	Marquette, Michigan Tuesday, May 16, 2006 - 7:30 p.m. MS. DELORIA: We will get started with the public hearing portion of our meeting tonight, and in case we've got anybody new that's joined us I think I see one face out there I'll reintroduce the Fish and Wildlife Service staff that's here tonight. My name is Christie Deloria. I work here in

U.P., and with me on my left is Ron Refsnider; he's our listing coordinator out of the regional office in Minneapolis, Minnesota. We also have two women over on my left: Kim Mitchell and Georgia Parham. If you haven't got a comment card tonight and would like to make a comment, you'd want to do that right away and see them. They can give you a card to make comments tonight.

I am going to hand this over to our hearing officer, Michael Twohey, who is over on my right. He's going to run the hearing portion of our meeting tonight, and he works for the Fish and Wildlife Service but at the Sea Lamprey office here in Marquette.

MR. TWOHEY: Thank you. On behalf of the Fish and Wildlife Service, I welcome you to this public hearing. purpose of this hearing is to receive comments from the public and other interested parties on the Fish and Wildlife

Page 4

Service proposal to delist the Western Great Lakes District population segment of the gray wolf.

Again, my name is Mike Twohey, and I'm right here in town at the Marquette biological station. I'll serve as the presiding official for this hearing. Mary Amtmann will be our court recorder, and she will prepare the written record of all statements made during this hearing. The transcript of the hearing will be posted on the Web site of the Midwest region of the Fish and Wildlife Service in a few weeks. The address for the Web site is available in some of the materials that are out in the hallway. I'll have no further involvement in this proceeding after this evening, so I'm an impartial participant in this hearing.

However, there are other Fish and Wildlife Service representatives with us this evening. They're assisting with the hearing, and many of them will be involved with reviewing the comments and helping the Service to come to a decision on the proposal, and Christie has already introduced those individuals.

This is a public hearing under Section 4 of the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973. Notice of the proposal to remove the gray wolf in the western Great Lakes area of the United States from the list of endangered and threatened wildlife and the 90-day public comment period was published in the Federal Register on March 27th, 2006,

Page 5

0.3

2.0

2.1

beginning on page 15266. The proposal included information on the four public hearings that are being held. Convening public hearings is one of the methods that the Service uses to solicit data and comments on this proposal. No final decision has yet been made regarding this proposal nor will any decision be made at this hearing.

Public comments on this proposal must be received no later than June 26th, 2006. After review and consideration of the existing administrative record, your comments, your interpretations of existing data and all other information gathered during the comment period, the Fish and Wildlife Service will make a final decision on the proposal. Information that you provide in your comments this evening will become part of the administrative record and will be considered by the Fish and Wildlife Service in the decision-making process.

I want to direct your attention to information that's situated out in the hallway. One table is the registration table where you'll need to get a numbered note card if you wish to present oral comments. Christie already mentioned that. If you'd like to get on our mailing list to receive future information on this proposal, there's a place out there where you can sign up for that as well. You can add your name to the mailing address or email address on the signup sheet that's out there.

Page 6

There's also a variety of written information about this proposal, about wolves and about Endangered Species Act. Please take a copy of anything that interests you. I especially want to point out the light blue sheet that's out there, which provides details on several ways you can get more information on the proposal, and also explains several methods you can use to submit written comments either tonight or by mail.

When I call your number to present your comments, please come to one of the microphones -- well, our one microphone. When you begin your comments, state your full name, spell it, identify any organization that you might represent and give your state of residence, please. If you have a written copy of your comments, you may give it to the court reporter or to me for entry into the record as a written comment. Oral statements: Normally we limit it to five minutes. I guess, I don't think we have a lot of speakers this evening. Why don't we try ten minutes, and I expect that will be sufficient for this evening. And that's so that all who wish to make oral comments will have the opportunity to do so. If you stray from the issue, exceed your time limit, engage in personal insults or make other inappropriate remarks, I'll ask you to wrap up your comments.

At the end of the evening, there may be time to

Page 7

2.1

provide another opportunity for speakers to finish their comments if they weren't able to do so completely or in their allotted time. To maximize the opportunity for others to express their comments, I ask that you refrain from commenting on issues beyond the scope of the Fish and Wildlife Service's wolf delisting proposal.

This is an informal hearing. Therefore, you will not be questioned or cross-examined in connection with your comments. This hearing is solely intended to obtain your comments so the Service can consider them when making their final decision on the proposal. Therefore, the Fish and Wildlife Service officials will not respond to questions or engage in discussion of the proposal during the hearing. The presentation and question-and-answer session earlier this evening were intended for questions and discussion, so we'll be devoting a portion of the evening to receiving your comments, this portion. If you have questions about the proposal or the Endangered Species Act, I encourage you to take advantage of the materials at the information table and on the Web site. You may want to read them and then submit written comments later.

There may be opportunities to ask questions of individual Fish and Wildlife Service staff outside of the hearing, possibly after the hearing closes or during a recess if we have one. But I emphasize, this hearing is

Page 8

- 01 intended to receive public input, not respond to it. The 02 Fish and Wildlife Service's response to issues and questions
- 03 raised during the comment period, including those that come
- 04 up at this hearing, will be published as part of the final

05 decision for this proposal. That publication will probably 06 occur in early 2007. 07 Again, your comments are being recorded by the 80 court reporter to assist the Service in reviewing them and 09 to preserve them for the record. Please keep in mind, 10 however, the reporter will only be recording statements made 11 into the microphones. Comments from the audience and other 12 statements made away from the microphones or made to the 13 audience will not become part of the record and will not be 14 considered by the Fish and Wildlife Service when making the 15 final decision on the proposal. All oral comments must be 16 made into the microphone and directed to the front of the 17 room, please. 18 In addition to or instead of providing oral 19 comments tonight, you may submit comments in writing, by 20 email or by fax. Written comments may be submitted to me, 21 to the staff at the registration table or sent in later. 22 Oral comments will not be accepted outside of the public 23 hearing setting. As I mentioned, written, emailed or faxed 24 comments must be received by June 26th. They'll be given 25 the same serious consideration as oral comments presented Page 9 01 during this hearing. 0.2 We'll conclude the hearing at 9:00 p.m. If we've 03 covered all those who wish to speak before 9:00 p.m., we'll recess the hearing as we'll go off record until either 04 05 additional persons indicate they'd like to talk or until 06 9:00 p.m. approaches. At that time, we'll reconvene the 07 hearing, go back on the record to receive additional 0.8 comments or to officially close the hearing. 09 We'll now open the floor for comments. After I 10 call your number, please come to the microphone, state your 11 name, spell it, identify who you represent if you're 12 representing somebody, give your state of residence and begin your comments. Please speak clearly so the court 13 reporter can hear your comments accurately. 14 15 I remind you that tonight's hearing is designed to 16 gather public comments and especially additional data and 17 information regarding the proposal in order to help the Fish 18 and Wildlife Service to make the best possible decision under the Endangered Species Act. To better serve that 19 20 purpose, I ask that you all remain respectful of the 21 speakers, of other members of the audience and of the 22 government officials that are here tonight. 23 So who's our -- I don't have the list of speakers 24 actually. 25 MS. DELORIA: Just call their numbers, call the Page 10 01 numbers. 02 MR. TWOHEY: One. UNKNOWN: I'll pass. 03 04 MR. TWOHEY: You'll pass. Okay. Speaker number 05 two.

MR. LINDQUIST: Good evening. I'm George Lindquist, L-i-n-d-q-u-i-s-t. I'm a director at large with Michigan United Conservation Clubs, and I'm also involved with U.P. Whitetails in Marquette County.

I'd like to take this time to congratulate Fish and Wildlife and the states involved for the success story with wildlife. It's clearly -- the wolf population has grown faster than anybody imagined, and it seems to be fully recovered here and definitely not a problem as far as a viable population anymore.

I guess I would like to -- my thoughts together here on this, but I think the sooner we can get -- get this moved on as far as getting these animals delisted and getting into the hands of the state the better. They've clearly met the criteria for a number of years now, and the longer we wait, the more public -- public feelings get negative. There's been -- I think if it could have transgressed the way it was originally proposed as far as when they were supposed to be delisted, there would be a lot less public people against or down on wolves because the

Page 11

numbers have grown. There's essentially no management. The only management there's been has been for depravation control, and they're essentially being treated as varmints, so we would like to see this -- the management of wolves put back into the hands of the state and get some real management here.

We feel that the -- an animal isn't fully recovered until you have a viable, huntable population, and it's there now. I feel if the states can get control of this and manage this animal as a big game animal, the sportsmen, the people that live in the woods, spend time in the woods, would think of this animal in a much higher -- higher level and want to protect that animal. None of

the -- none of the animals that are -- none of the wildlife that's managed as big game is -- is hunted to extinction or brought to such populations that it's a problem because the sportsmen care about that animal and want to manage it and see it managed in a viable way, so that's where we're coming with this is we'd like to see this population managed, some control on it. That's where a lot of people get the ideas where they don't see any management, they tend to go to the philosophy of shoot, shovel and shut up, and that's -- that's not good for the animal; it's not good for the population; it's not good for anybody involved, so the sooner that this can get back in the hands of the state, the

Page 12

- sooner it becomes a big game animal and managed as such, we can have a viable population here and it's a feather in everybody's hat. Thank you.
- 04 MR. TWOHEY: Thank you. Again, I want to remind 05 everybody that if you want to present oral comments but have 06 not yet registered to do so, you need to fill out a little

07 card out in the hallway. Number three. 80 MR. BAHRMAN: Good evening. My name is David 09 Bahrman, B-a-h-r-m-a-n. I'm representing Michigan Farm 10 Bureau. This is -- I want to commend you on pursuing this 11 after you -- the court challenges you had previously and 12 hopefully this will be -- take care of all the problems that 13 was presented there. 14 This is something that's been on our policy book 15 for several years. It came out of -- our system is set up 16 by counties, and it came out of a Mac-Luce-Schoolcraft 17 resolution that they saw several years ago that wolves 18 needed to be delisted before we could get management under 19 control, and we felt that this was a better -- to have the 20 state manage it when it got to a viable population. And 21 with the way you presented your program tonight, it sounds

like we're there and hopefully we can maintain that, so I

want to commend you for going on after you had a few

24 challenges in the courts, and that's about all I want to

25 say. Thank you.

Page 13

22

06 07

80

09 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. TWOHEY: Thank you. Number four.

MR. JOHNSON: I am Robert Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.

I reside at 579 M-35, Negaunee, and I'm glad that you got

where you are now with this after being sued and everything

over it.

There's only so much space these animals can take up with enough food for them. Our deer population is plummeting here in the U.P., and the farmers -- they can't put up with their livestock. A lot of them I've talked to have been having animals killed, and that's a deterrent to them. Not only -- they're the backbone of our country, so I hope we can get this delisted now and get it taken care of.

I don't know if there's much more I have to say on it, but it's the right track. These animals can only have so many animals for so much food, and when the food ain't there, they're going to give us a problem, which they are already. They're after calves and this kind of stuff, sheep, and so we can see they're running out of food or they wouldn't be bothering humans and their livestock, so I guess it's about time we delisted them. Thank you.

MR. TWOHEY: Number five.

MS. WINN: Ginger Winn, G-i-n-g-e-r, W-i-n-n,

Marquette, Michigan. I'm not for delisting them as

threatened or endangered. I don't think the count has been

25 tallied correctly or accurately. I think more work needs to

Page 14

- be done. I'm more than happy to share my deer as a hunter with wolves. I'm happy that there are deer or wolves in the area. I don't think they should be delisted until the plan is secure as to what will happen with them with the state. I don't think killing problem wolves is the answer. I think
- of relocating is still the answer, so delisting them is
- of letocating is still the answer, so defisting them i
- 07 something I'm vehemently against.

0.8 MR. TWOHEY: Number six. MR. ALLEN: Hi, David Allen, A-l-l-e-n, from 09 10 Marquette, Michigan. While I am a member of Upper Peninsula 11 Environmental Coalition and of the Sierra Club, please think 12 of my comments as my own and not as representative of those 13 organizations. 14 Like George, for example, I think all of us to a 15 large extent, particularly the DNR and the Fish and Wildlife 16 Service, deserve kudos for the great recovery of the wolf. 17 You know, it's a thrilling thing. Last year, coming out of 18 Bergland, a quarter mile up the highway on 28, a wolf 19 leaping across the road, and you know, just going ah-ah, 20 nice, nice, nice, and then right in front of the car came 21 the second one, and wow. You know, I mean, that is 22 something that is truly wonderful to have wolves around, to hear them howling or what have you. It's part of the 2.3 24 outdoor experience. 25 However, there has been a tendency for public Page 15 01 support for wolf to be decreasing somewhat. Worse, there 02 have been individuals who have actually threatened to blow 03 away wolves if they interfere with their deer. Now, this 04 thought of a desperate deer population is -- the deer going 05 extinct in some sense or another is patently ridiculous. 06 When I go out in the woods, the only reason why typically I 07 can't see deer tracks are because of pellets covering them. 80 Anyway, enough of that. 09 I think that delisting is premature. I think that 10 the next step should be to reduce them from endangered to 11 threatened where lethal control can be done if necessary to 12 get used to doing management. I think there should be an 13 additional goal set up, namely to perhaps think in terms of 14 the wolf becoming a game animal. I think I agree with George, for example, when he says eventually that hunters 15 16 don't want to eliminate their prized game animal, so I think that as an eventual goal is a very solid idea, and basically 17 18 that idea, going to threatened and setting up a goal as a 19 game animal, I think, ought to be considered. Many thanks 20 for the opportunity to speak. 21 MR. TWOHEY: Number seven. MR. KERANEN: My name is Charles Keranen; it's 22 23 K-e-r-a-n-e-n, and I live in Ishpeming, Michigan. 24 I want to thank you for coming here and holding 25 this meeting and for the presentation, which I think was Page 16 01 well prepared and well -- and well done. I think it shows 02 me that the proposal is based on good thought, a good 03 gathering of facts, and I think we're ready to delist the 04 wolf by the method in the proposal that you presented and 05 showed us tonight, and I very strongly support doing so, so 06 thank you for coming. 07 MR. TWOHEY: Number eight. MR. MORAN: Hi, there. My name is Chauncey Moran. 08

I live in northern Marquette County in a log cabin up in the mountains, and I see wolves at least once a month. And as far as the delisting plan, the first thing I'd like to say is it is a success story in the Upper Peninsula. When I was a young boy, we shot wolves in the Upper Peninsula, and there were \$20 bounty on them for the male and 15 for the female. And those days are long gone by.

But I'd like to say that I think that delisting the wolf completely is not quite in order quite yet. I think if you do the numbers, that that's not that many per square mile, and you can see the saturation of what they are in Minnesota. Well, this isn't Minnesota; this is Michigan. We certainly have enough people that I know that are the local guys that are taking care of them on the other side of the Huron River as they shot five last year and hung them up on a buck pole, which was kind of stupid.

And as far as the wolves really cutting down the

Page 17

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

06

07

80

09

01 deer population, I see deer every day. And I'm sorry this gentleman right here that doesn't have very many deer in his 02 03 backyard anymore, but I can tell you from long history of 04 walking around in the woods in the wintertime when people 0.5 were baiting deer and still do. They put all those big bait 06 piles in those hemlock stands, and the deer were there in 07 the wintertime, and they were there in December when the 08 snows came, and then they stayed there because the little 09 yearlings couldn't get out of there, and they died on their 10 way to the yarding areas. And so we must take responsibility for killing the deer. As far as the deer 11 12 population goes, these two gentlemen right here would 13 certainly give credence to the fact that bruin and sow bears 14 kill a great number of deer, small deer, which are the fawns 15 that come each spring to feed their young. 16

I think you actually have done really good science here. I appreciate this presentation, and that's how we confound what the naysayers say, with good science. And each day I'm out there, I think about people who work hard to do good science, and so I just ask that you reconsider to go to the threat level as opposed to going to the endangered -- to delisting completely.

And in closing, I guess I would like to say that as George has said, there are parts of this country -- and of course we all know that it's been in Canada -- they shoot

Page 18

and trap wolves regularly there like vermin, and they hunt them for prize in British Columbia and in Alaska. And maybe it will become a big game animal, but I think it's not quite yet time to completely delist them from the threatened stage.

And thank you very much to my brothers from the DNR, who are doing an outstanding job at what they do here, and when you give it over to them, they can take care of it. Thank you.

10 MR. TWOHEY: Number nine. MR. CORNETT: Thank you for coming tonight. 11 12 name's Doug Cornett. I represent an organization called 13 North Woods Wilderness Recovery. Our address is Post Office 14 Box 122, Marquette, Michigan 49855. 15 A couple of comments. We are opposed to the 16 delisting. The Upper Peninsula population is now, I think, 17 around -- the last numbers I've seen is between 350 and 400 animals. I don't think this -- this number of animals is --18 19 may -- could possibly not be genetically viable if a disease 20 like parvovirus or something else like that that would go 21 through a population very quickly. I think very soon we 22 could see that we might not have a genetically viable 23 segment of the population here, so I'm concerned that 24 delisting is premature. 25 The other thing I've been concerned about is there

Page 19

01 is really been no distinguishing between the Upper Peninsula 02 and the Lower Peninsula. There have been individuals 03 spotted in the Lower Peninsula over the past couple of 04 years. There's a lot of public lands in the northern Lower 05 Peninsula that warrant habitat protection and enhancement to 06 see wolves re-established there, so I think that segment of 07 the population or that -- that area needs to be looked at 08 and wolves there be considered as a separate population. Another thing, I just have not read through all of 09 10 the materials thoroughly. There's a lot of stuff out there, 11 thousands of pages, on this animal and the delisting, but 12 about ten years ago we had a wolf-dog hybrid kill a little 13 girl out in Michigamme, Michigan, about 30 miles west of 14 here. And the state -- the woman that her little girl got 15 killed went to the lengths of going to the state legislature 16 and trying to get legislation passed, and I always 17 questioned -- this question always came up in my head is why 18 aren't these wolf-dog hybrids regulated under the Endangered Species Act? This -- the Endangered Species Act reads, "An 19 20 animal that's endangered certainly should be regulated 21 especially in that type of breeding situation." So I'm going to pose that question to you is -- how is this 22 23 situation going to be treated? Why should the state have to 24 go through with its own procedure in creating legislation 25 when the Endangered Species Act should have covered a

Page 20

01 situation like this and should have gone after the people that are breeding these animals, since they do have that 02 03 characteristic of being unpredictable and possibly vicious 04 and have the ability to kill people? Why hasn't the Fish 05 and Wildlife ever done anything about that? 06 So with that, we'll be putting in some other 07 written comments and working along with our partner 80 organization on many of these issues, Defenders of Wildlife, 09 and thank you for having this hearing. 10 MR. TWOHEY: Are there other individuals who would

```
like to make comments at this time? Well, we've gone
11
12
      through all the individuals who have asked to speak so far,
      so what we'll do now is recess the hearing until either 9:00
13
14
      or until some other individuals suggest that they would like
      to make comments. If you want to make sure you hear some of
15
16
      those comments, you might want to hang close by. We'll
17
      reconvene if somebody expresses an interest in doing so. So
18
      we are recessed.
19
                        (At 8:00 p.m., hearing recessed)
20
                        (At 8:58 p.m., hearing reconvened)
21
                        MR. TWOHEY: At this time I reconvene the hearing,
22
      and since there are no additional speakers, we now close the
23
     hearing.
24
                        (At 8:58 p.m., hearing closed)
25
     Page 21
01
      STATE OF MICHIGAN )
02
03
     COUNTY OF MARQUETTE
                               )
04
            I certify that this transcript, consisting of 21 pages, is a
05
06
      complete, true and correct record of the public hearing held
07
      concerning the gray wolf delisting proposal in Marquette,
      Michigan on May 16, 2006.
08
09
10
11
     May 22, 2006
12
                                           Mary Amtmann, CER 5771
13
                                           400 Pelissier Lake Road
14
                                           Marquette, Michigan 49855
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
```